

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,803	O'CONNER ET AL.
	Examiner Christina Johnson	Art Unit 1725

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Christina Johnson.

(3) _____.

(2) Louis Morris.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23 and 35-37

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 23 and 35-37 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. Non-elected claim 23 was amended commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims, thereby allowing the non-elected claims to be rejoined and passed to issue. Claims 35-37 were amended to clarify the language of the claims. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiners amendment..